



Nook & Bloom Care <care@nookandbloom.com>

Request for Immediate Review: Potential Unauthorized Access and Disclosure of GS Records

1 message

Nook & Bloom Care <care@nookandbloom.com>

Sun, Dec 7, 2025 at 5:00 PM

To: gs-reg-inquiries-apej@gs.com

Bcc: priya.marie.ross@gmail.com

Dear Regulatory Inquiries Team,

I hope you are well. I am writing to request **urgent clarification and review** regarding two serious concerns involving potential unauthorized access to, and disclosure of, information that Goldman Sachs previously maintained about me.

1. Internal GS email communications printed and disseminated by former employees

As shown in the attached document, internal copies of emails I sent in 2017 to former GS employee **Robert Boroujerdi** were printed from the Outlook mailbox of **Craig Tamamoto (HCM)**.

Both individuals have been separated from Goldman Sachs for several years.

However, copies of these internal GS emails were printed and later submitted as exhibits in 2025 Connecticut civil proceedings.

These printouts clearly display Mr. Tamamoto's name and GS Outlook header information. (See attached PDF, pages with "Tamamoto, Craig (HCM)" print headers.)

Given that neither individual is currently employed by the firm, I am concerned that:

- archived internal communications were retained or accessed improperly, or
- former employees may have had access to GS systems or retained GS records post-employment.

I would appreciate clarification on how these internal emails were obtained and whether Goldman Sachs has reviewed this matter.

2. Possible unauthorized retention or disclosure of my GS emergency-contact information

Additionally, I have reason to believe that my **emergency-contact information**—specifically my father's name, relationship to me, and telephone number—may have been improperly accessed or retained.

In January 2025 and again in May 2025, an individual associated with Mr. Boroujerdi contacted my father by telephone regarding the same civil matter. My father and I:

- do not share a last name,
- do not share an address, and
- do not have publicly linked contact information.

The only entity that has ever maintained his correct contact information as my emergency contact was **Goldman Sachs HCM** during my employment.

Accordingly, I am concerned whether my HR/HCM records were accessed, retained, or disclosed without authorization.

Requested Action

To ensure accuracy and protection of my personal data, I respectfully request:

- 1. Confirmation whether Goldman Sachs is aware of any unauthorized access or disclosure involving:**
 - o archived Outlook communications,
 - o HR/HCM records,
 - o emergency-contact information.
- 2. Information on whether an internal review or investigation has been opened in connection with the above concerns.**
- 3. Clarification of how internal GS emails stored under the account of a former HCM employee became available for external use in 2025.**
- 4. Whether Goldman Sachs considers either occurrence to constitute a privacy, confidentiality, or data-security incident under the firm's internal policies.**

I am happy to provide any additional documentation needed to assist your review.

Thank you for your attention. I look forward to your response.

Warm regards,

Priya Ross

Former Employee ID: 00152276

On Sun, Dec 7, 2025 at 12:53 PM Nook & Bloom Care <care@nookandbloom.com> wrote:

Kindly following up on the request below.

Thank you,

Priya

On Sat, Nov 29, 2025 at 12:42 PM Nook & Bloom Care <care@nookandbloom.com> wrote:

Dear Regulatory Inquiries Team,

I am writing as a former Goldman Sachs employee seeking guidance on how to escalate a potential issue involving the accuracy of internal records related to my separation from the firm in January 2016. I understand that this inbox is associated with the Asia region, but given the nature of my concern—specifically the accuracy and consistency of internal classifications and personnel records—I felt it was appropriate to request direction from your team regarding the appropriate channel for review.

Recently, while examining documents associated with my departure, I identified an inconsistency between (a) the verbal explanation provided to me at the time of my separation and (b) the wording contained in my severance agreement. At the time, I was informed verbally that my employment was being terminated “for cause.” However, the written agreement describes my departure as an “**involuntary departure in connection with a downsizing.**”

Because separation classification affects internal recordkeeping, HR/HCM coding, and potentially regulatory reporting, I want to ensure that my personnel file accurately reflects the firm's intended classification and that no internal discrepancies exist in the systems that maintain this information.

I have attached two documents for context:

- 1. The severance agreement** I signed on January 5, 2016, which contains the downsizing-related language; and
- 2. My performance evaluation**, which contains no indication of performance issues that would explain a “for cause” designation.

I am not making any legal assertions; I am simply attempting to understand whether this discrepancy indicates a recordkeeping error or inconsistency that should be reviewed by the appropriate internal team (Compliance, HCM, Employee Relations, or another group). I would be grateful if you could advise which department is best suited to evaluate this type of concern, or if your office is able to forward this internally to the appropriate area.

Thank you very much for your time and assistance.
I appreciate any guidance you are able to provide.

Sincerely,

Priyamol Marie George Ross

Former Employee ID: **00152276**

Preferred Email: priya.marie.ross@gmail.com (but I have reason to believe this is blocked internally at the firm)

Former Employment:

- Permanent Employee (Oct 2014 – Jan 2016)
- Temporary Employee (2012–2013 and 2013–2014)

2 attachments

 **Dad's May 2 email.pdf**
443K

 **C. Tamamoto 2017 Outlook emails.pdf**
388K