



Priya Ross <priya.marie.ross@gmail.com>

FINRA File No. 20250885537

Priya Marie Ross <priya.marie.ross@gmail.com>
To: "Cornand, Nicole" <Nicole.Cornand@finra.org>
Bcc: Priya Ross <priya.marie.ross@gmail.com>

Thu, Dec 11, 2025 at 12:29 PM

Good afternoon, Ms. Cornand,

Thank you for your email. Please see below my responses to your requests and the documents attached.

1. What was your role/department, and when did you separate from the firm?

I was an Administrative Assistant in the Global Investment Research (GIR) division of Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC. My employment ended on January 5, 2016. (**See attached** severance letter)

1(a). Who specifically within GS HCM did you provide your emergency contact information to, and for what HR purpose?

I provided my emergency-contact information as part of the standard onboarding process when I converted from a temporary worker to a full-time employee in October 2014.

I do not recall the specific name of the individual in HCM who entered the information, but it would have been handled by Human Capital Management/Employee Services, consistent with Goldman Sachs' normal onboarding procedures.

1(b). After your separation, did you ever request copies of your personnel file or HR data, or receive any notification that your HR records were accessed?

Yes. On November 26, 2025, I submitted formal requests to HR/HCM and the Data Subject Access Request (DSAR) team for my personnel file and all HR-related records. Additionally, I sent a similar request to their Compliance team on November 29, 2025. **Please see** those three emails attached, with their follow-ups on December 7.

To date, **I have received no substantive response** and have **never been notified** that anyone accessed my HR records following my separation.

2(a). Regarding the January and May 2025 contacts with your father: Can you provide correspondence showing how the individual identified themselves?

For the **January 29, 2025** call, I only have my father's verbal report, as he was contacted unexpectedly.

For **May 2025**, I have:

- an **email from my father dated May 2, 2025**, describing his conversation with the attorney (**see attached**), and
- a **voicemail transcript** from a message left on his home answering machine on **May 1, 2025**, identifying the caller as Attorney Ruth Israely of Maya Murphy in Westport, CT, connected with Mr. Boroujerd (**see attached**).

2(b). What specific information was disclosed or requested?

The attorney had access to:

- my father's full telephone number, and
- knowledge of his relationship to me.

Both pieces of information are not publicly available, and there is no reasonable way to obtain them from external sources.

Given that the same attorney also filed **January 2025 Civil Protection Order affidavits containing internal Goldman Sachs emails from 2017 (see attached)**, which she likely had no lawful authorization to possess, the combination of:

1. her knowledge of my father's identity and
2. possession of his phone number

strongly suggests that **my GS emergency-contact information was accessed, retained, or disclosed without authorization**.

My father has not in recent years been publicly linked to me by name, address, or phone number. He and I do **not** share a surname, residence, or publicly searchable contact trail.

2(c). Did you follow up with GS to determine who accessed or disclosed your emergency-contact information? If so, to whom, when, and what was the result?

Yes. On **December 7, 2025**, I followed up with:

- **Goldman Sachs HR/HCM,**
- **the DSAR/Privacy Office,**
- **GS Compliance,** and
- **the Goldman Sachs Ethics and Business Integrity Portal.**

On **December 9, 2025**, I further escalated the matter and formally requested that my concerns be directed to the **Goldman Sachs Board of Directors**, given the seriousness of the potential privacy breach and the involvement of a former C-suite executive (Mr. Boroujerdi) in retaining internal GS materials. **(see attached)**.

I received a response through the **Ethics Portal** confirming:

- receipt of all of my prior communications,
- acknowledgment of the issues raised, and
- confirmation that they are treating the matter seriously.

(see attached December 9 Ethics Portal response).

As of today, **I have not received substantive findings or clarification** about who accessed or disclosed my emergency-contact information.

Additionally, I want to note a material issue that arose during the February 10, 2025 hearing, which bears directly on the custody and dissemination of the Goldman Sachs—origin emails included in the January 2025 CPO affidavit filed under Mr. Boroujerdi's name. Under oath, Mr. Boroujerdi did not deny that the affidavit—including the 2017 internal Goldman Sachs emails, which are corporate property—was submitted under his name. He further testified that he is, and always has been, married to Ms. Maggie Boroujerdi. Based on information and belief, I strongly believe that they are in fact divorced but cohabiting, which materially contradicts the marital relationship described in the CPO filings.

It is also my allegation that Ms. Boroujerdi filed all four January 2025 CPO affidavits without Mr. Boroujerdi's knowledge or consent, including the affidavit under his name that contained the internal GS emails. Instead of clarifying this under oath, Mr. Boroujerdi reaffirmed a marital narrative that I believe to be false and allowed the court to assume that he personally authored, approved, and submitted the affidavit. This testimony obscured the true chain of custody of the GS emails and prevented the court from understanding how Ms. Boroujerdi came to possess materials she had no lawful basis to file.






If they are, as I strongly believe, divorced but cohabiting, this discrepancy raises significant concerns regarding how the 2017 internal Goldman Sachs emails were accessed, retained, or transmitted after Mr. Boroujerdi's separation from the firm. By refusing under oath to distance himself from the affidavit containing GS corporate data—including references to my 2017 mental-health crisis—the responsibility for how those materials left Goldman Sachs and entered litigation remains with him as the named affiant.







(See Feb. 10 and May 5 court transcripts attached).

Thank you,
Priya Ross

[Quoted text hidden]

11 attachments

-  **Ross, Priya sevltr 1.5.16 Signed - Redacted.pdf**
4791K
-  **Regulatory Inquiries email.pdf**
157K
-  **DSAR-privacy email.pdf**
152K
-  **Nov-Dec 2025 GS HCM A Hendricks email.pdf**
156K
-  **Transcript of Voicemail Left by Attorney Israely 5-1-2025.pdf**
437K

-  **Dad's May 2 email.pdf**
443K
-  **C. Tamamoto 2017 Outlook emails.pdf**
388K
-  **12-9-2025 GS BOD letter.pdf**
238K
-  **12-9-2025 EthicsPoint reply.pdf**
73K
-  **2-10-2025 court transcript redacted.pdf**
69K
-  **5-5-2025 court transcript redacted.pdf**
4023K